Fructose and metabolic diseases: too much to be good
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Abstract
Excessive consumption of fructose, the sweetest of all naturally occurring carbohydrates, has been linked to worldwide epidemics of metabolic diseases in humans, and it is considered an independent risk factor for cardiovascular diseases. We provide an overview about the features of fructose metabolism, as well as potential mechanisms by which excessive fructose intake is associated with the pathogenesis of metabolic diseases both in humans and rodents. To accomplish this aim, we focus on illuminating the cellular and molecular mechanisms of fructose metabolism as well as its signaling effects on metabolic and cardiovascular homeostasis in health and disease, highlighting the role of carbohydrate-responsive element–binding protein in regulating fructose metabolism.

Keywords: Fructose; Metabolic diseases; Pathogenesis

Introduction
In the past decades, dietary patterns have changed remarkably. In conjunction with the advent of sedentary lifestyles in both industrialized and developing countries, this trend of changing dietary patterns is highly associated with the increasing epidemic of obesity, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), type 2 diabetes, and metabolic syndrome.[1,2] The rapid increase in pediatric obesity and NAFLD has become one of the major public health concerns globally. Sugars in the form of sucrose (half fructose) or high-fructose corn syrup (HFCS, about 45–55% fructose) are major sweeteners added in food and beverages, which comprise a large proportion of the modern diet, in particular in children, adolescents, and young adults. In Western diets, added sugars account for about 14% to 17% of the total caloric intake, which is above the recommended level of 10% of the total caloric intake according to the World Health Organization’s guidelines.[3] In China, the past decades have seen a great increase in the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages (SSB), according to the China National Nutrition Surveys (CNNS).[4] It is well recognized that excessive consumption of added sugars is a risk factor for cardiometabolic diseases, as well as a public health problem.[5,6] Therefore, recommendations for the restriction of sugar consumption attract significant attention.

As the major component of added sugar, fructose is a monosaccharide with a similar formula to glucose. However, its metabolism pathway is quite different from glucose in vivo.[7–9] There is substantial evidence that excessive fructose intake has detrimental effects on multiple metabolic diseases. It causes visceral fat accumulation and leads to obesity, hyperlipidemia, insulin resistance, hypertension, and hyperuricemia, which are associated with development of diabetes, fatty liver disease, cardiovascular disease, and gout.[5,6,10] Even for those in the “normal” range of fructose consumption, fructose can still rapidly impair intermediate physiological endpoints like circulating lipids and insulin sensitivity in humans.[11] Therefore, further understanding fructose metabolism and its role in the development of metabolic diseases will provide fundamental insights into pathogenic mechanisms, which assists to develop new diagnostic, preventative, and therapeutic strategies for metabolic disease. In this review, we aim to illuminate the physiological and biochemical characteristics of fructose metabolism as well as its association with metabolic diseases.

Fructose Metabolism and Homeostasis

Intestinal fructose absorption
Dietary fructose is predominantly absorbed from the intestinal lumen and transported across the apical membrane, which is mediated by the facilitative glucose transporter...
(GLUT) family members. Both GLUT5 and GLUT2 are able to facilitate fructose transportation, but GLUT5 shows higher affinity for fructose. Fructose absorption occurs mainly in the brush border of the lower part of the duodenum and jejunum via GLUT5 and translocated into the circulation through GLUT2. In intestinal epithelial cells, fructose induces GLUT5 expression and activation to facilitate its translocation in an energy-independent manner. This sensitivity of GLUT5 for fructose thereby triggers intracellular signaling in response to nutrient concentrations. As a consequence, GLUT5 deficiency leads to intestinal fructose malabsorption as well as intestinal dysfunction.

**Endogenous fructose production**

The vast majority of fructose in vivo is derived from the dietary source of sugar, while it can also be synthesized endogenously through sorbitol (polyol) pathway. This metabolic route exists in a wide range of tissues and is regulated by two enzymes: aldose reductase and sorbitol dehydrogenase. Glucose is first converted to sorbitol by aldose reductase, and then oxidized to fructose by sorbitol dehydrogenase. Physiologically, endogenous fructose is produced as a source of energy mainly for sperm and fertility as well as for fetus development. In contrast to the ubiquitous expression of sorbitol dehydrogenase, aldose reductase expression is largely limited to the hypertonic areas of the inner medulla and papilla in the kidney; therefore, the sorbitol pathway was once considered to be inactive in the majority of tissues other than those in kidney. As a result, the locally produced and accumulated sorbitol helps maintain osmotic pressure for a proper urinary concentrating mechanism. Therefore, circulating fructose levels are much lower than that of glucose.

The estimated blood concentration of fructose in human and laboratory rodents ranges from 6 μmol/L to 1500 μmol/L, as determined by mass spectrometry detection (only ~1/1000 of glucose level in circulation). Recent studies suggest that the sorbitol pathway can also be active in brain. In this regard, researchers have reported the fructokinase expression and fructose metabolism in the brain, as well as the endogenous fructose generation in the hypothalamus, for the production and release of vasopressin. In addition, the endogenous fructose and activated sorbitol pathways are also considered to be a contributor to diabetic microvascular complication. There is some evidence supporting the view that endogenous fructose production and ketohexokinase (KHK) activation within the kidney contribute to the development of diabetic nephropathy. Meanwhile, glucose activates the sorbitol pathway both in humans and mice, which could be a mechanism for the exacerbated cardiometabolic risks by severe hyperglycemia.

Remarking, fructose metabolism occurs via a divergent pathway with distinctive metabolic consequences. The canonical pathway of fructose metabolism is fructolysis, that is initiated by KHK to produce fructose-1-phosphate (F-1-P). F-1-P is then cleaved by aldolase B into dihydroxyacetone phosphate and glyceraldehyde. Glyceraldehyde is phosphorylated by triokinase to generate glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (GAP). GAP and other triose phosphates are resynthesized into glucose via gluconeogenesis or converged with glycolytic pathway to enter the lipogenesis or oxidation pathways. In contrast to glucose, intracellular fructose is rapidly phosphorylated by KHK due to the lack of negative feedback of substrate, which depletes the cells of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and phosphoric acids stores after intake of excessive fructose. The excessive cellular consumption of ATP stimulates adenosine monophosphate (AMP) deaminase activation to catalyze AMP to hypoxanthine and eventually increases uric acid levels via purine pathway. Uric acid can be degraded by uricase in rodents. However, humans lack uricase, and that leads to the elevation of circulating uric acid after excessive fructose consumption, which might contribute as an evolutionary benefit. [23] [Figure 1].

Fructose concentrations are at a low level of 0.04 mmol/L in peripheral blood. After dietary fructose intake, it rapidly increases about 10 fold then returns to fasting levels within 2 h. Dietary fructose metabolism is initiated in small intestine, which is a primary organ for fructose clearance. Low doses of fructose are about 90% cleared by the intestine, with only trace fructose but extensive fructose-derived glucose, lactate, and glycerate entering the portal blood. Therefore, the small intestine not only provides a place for the absorption and metabolism of fructose, but also acts as a barrier to prevent a large amount of fructose from pouring into the liver, with potential toxic effects. The capacity to absorb fructose in healthy adults ranges from <5 g to >50 g. When fructose ingestion exceeds intestinal clearance capacity, the extra fructose is released into portal circulation at the disposal of the liver.

The liver is a central metabolic processor. It can sense and integrate peripheral nutrient status, thereby coordinating energy storage and consumption. It is also considered to be the major organ to dispose fructose in circulation. Hepatic fructose uptake from portal circulation is mediated by GLUT2, which then undergoes further metabolism to produce substrates for multiple metabolic pathways, affecting both glucose and lipid homeostasis, and consequently contributing to the pathogenesis of metabolic disorders. Kidney is also an important organ for fructose metabolism. Physiologically, fructose can be converted into glucose by renal gluconeogenesis. Although renal gluconeogenesis may result from classic substrates such as lactate, glutamine, alanine, and pyruvate, fructose appears to be the preferred substrate, based on the speed and efficiency of the reaction. The proximal straight tubule, where the GLUT5 is expressed on the apical side of the cell membrane, is a primary site for urinary fructose uptake and subsequent metabolism by fructokinase. In addition, the proximal convoluted tubule is also found to express fructokinase and aldolase B, which can be rapidly
induced by fructose. Aldolase B deficiency in the patients with hereditary fructose intolerance causes F-1-P accumulation in the proximal convoluted tubule. On the other hand, activation of fructose by fructokinase requires a phosphate, which decreases intracellular phosphate levels and depletes ATP. This process activates AMP deaminase and stimulates uric acid via purine pathway.\[27\] Therefore, an excessive amount of fructose, either from diet or as a result of endogenous production, leads to intracellular uric acid accumulation, which increases the risk of developing gout.

**Fructose intolerance**

The development of fructose intolerance is closely related to abnormal expression and function of the enzymes critically involved in fructose absorption and metabolism.\[28\] Hereditary fructose intolerance, caused by catalytic deficiency of aldolase B, results in a serious defect of fructose metabolism along with an severe accumulation of F-1-P, which leads to hypoglycemic and severe abdominal symptoms as well as liver and kidney injury after fructose consumption.\[29\] Another hereditary fructose intolerance is related to fructose bisphosphatase 1 (FBP1), which has long been recognized as a key component to control the rate of hepatic gluconeogenesis in response to energy status. Individuals with FBP1 deficiency present with hypoglycemia and metabolic acidosis after fructose consumption, due to impaired gluconeogenesis.\[30\] The hereditary fructose intolerance is often fatal and increases mortality; however, the precise mechanism is not entirely clear so far.

Fructose intolerance is also caused by impaired fructose absorption. The ability of a healthy adult to absorb fructose daily is in the range of 5 to 50 g.\[9\] Unabsorbed fructose in the intestine can be excreted through feces or utilized by intestinal flora; the latter could lead to impaired intestinal flora homeostasis and intestinal barrier function, thereby causing gastrointestinal symptoms. Deletion of GLUT5 in mice reduces fructose absorption by 75% and causes fructose malabsorption with symptoms such as cecum and colon dilatation, and gas accumulation.\[31\] Intestinal GLUT5 expression levels are low prenatally and rapidly increase after weaning, which then can be further induced by diets containing fructose.\[32\] In humans, fructose malabsorption is prevalent in infants, toddlers, and young children compared with that in adults; this is due to their low expression pattern of intestinal GLUT5.\[33\] Therefore, GLUT5 has been considered as a potential pharmacologic candidate for the prevention of fructose-induced diseases.

**Regulation of Fructose Metabolism**

Fructose metabolism is self-regulated in a flux-dependent manner. Under physiological condition, fructose clearance is accomplished through fructolysis and gluconeogenesis, which are initiated from intestinal absorption and metabolism, with the circulating fructose cleared in the liver and kidney. Each component of fructose metabolism pathway, which includes transporters and metabolic enzymes, controls the metabolic flux as well as the amount of intermediate metabolites to maintain metabolic homeostasis.\[10\] Therefore, the activity and expression of these
ChREBP acts as a negative regulator of genes involved in mitochondrial biogenesis, autophagy, in brown adipocytes downregulates the expression of ChREBP and fructose metabolism homeostasis upon environmental changes. In sensing nutrient metabolism and maintaining energy required for fructose tolerance. Upon fructose or tissue. We recently found that ChREBP-α and ChREBP-β, due to alternative usage of the promoters and the first exons. Each isoform is expressed with MLx forms heterodimer to transcriptionally regulate expression of the target genes. The β isoform is expressed at extremely low levels; however, its transcriptional activity is much more potent than that of the α isoform. ChREBP is abundantly expressed in both liver and intestine and regulates transcription of many genes involved in monocarbohydrate transport, glycolysis, fructolysis, and de novo lipogenesis (DNL), thereby contributing to glucose and lipid homeostasis. The target genes regulated by ChREBP are very extensive with different physiological significance. Among them, some are related to glucose metabolism such as LPK, G6P, GLUT4, glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GPDH), and glucokinase regulatory protein (GKRP), whereas some are related to lipid metabolism including fatty acid synthesis, acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase, very-long-chain fatty acid elongase 6 (Elov6), stearoyl coenzyme A desaturase 1, and microsomal triglyceride transfer protein. It is particularly noteworthy to observe that the gene encoding fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21), an important factor regulating glucose and lipid metabolism, is also a target of ChREBP.

ChREBP expression is robustly induced by high-fructose diet (HFrD), which is required for the subsequent activation of GLUT5 and the genes involved in fructolysis and gluconeogenesis. In the absence of ChREBP, insufficient induction of intestinal GLUT5 could be the main reason for fructose intolerance. Therefore, as an important site for fructose absorption and metabolism, small intestine is highly regulated by ChREBP in response to dietary fructose stimulation.

The liver is the major organ for the disposal of circulating fructose; however, the role of ChREBP in liver fructose metabolism is controversial. Fructose can produce multiple intracellular carbohydrate metabolites that activate hepatic ChREBP independently of hepatic insulin signaling. In both rats and mice, high fructose feeding increases hepatic carbohydrate metabolites as well as the activity of ChREBP and its target genes. Another recent study also indicates that fructose-activated ChREBP stimulates hepatic FGF21 expression and secretion, which participates in an adaptive response to fructose consumption. Although it has been observed that HFrD activates hepatic lipogenesis in a ChREBP-dependent manner, ChREBP-null mice chronically fed with a high fructose diet exhibit severe steatohepatitis and early signs of fibrosis. This unexpected finding complexes the role of fructose-activated ChREBP in hepatic lipid metabolism. Jois et al generated a liver-specific ChREBP-α knockout mice with the deletion of exon 1a, while the alternative promoter and expression cassette of ChREBP-β was intact. They demonstrated that deletion of hepatic ChREBP caused insulin resistance, but did not change liver glycogen contents or the expression of ChREBP target genes in liver. They further showed an approximately two-fold increase in liver glycogen contents and a mild increase in plasma alanine aminotransferase levels in HFrD-fed mutant mice, without significant morphological abnormality in the liver. In contrast, our recent study demonstrates that liver-specific ablation of ChREBP causes severe transaminitis and hepatomegaly with massive glycogen-overload in mice fed with an HFrD without significant inflammation, cell death, or fibrosis in the liver, suggesting that liver ChREBP protects mice against fructose-induced hepatotoxicity by regulating liver glycogen metabolism and ATP homeostasis. These substantial evidences demonstrate a protective role of liver ChREBP in fructose-induced hepatic toxicity. The marked difference in fructose-induced liver response in the above-mentioned knockout mouse model is most likely caused by the difference of gene targeting and mouse genetic background.

ChREBP and fructose metabolism

Although ChREBP was initially identified as a glucose-responsive factor, phenotypical characterization of its global knockout mice first demonstrates that ChREBP is required for fructose tolerance. Upon fructose or sucrose ingestion, ChREBP-deficient mice exhibit high mortality, which was once linked to the diminished expression of enzymes required for fructolysis, such as aldolase B and HKH in the liver. Given the consistent phenotype as manifested by a severely distended cecum along with proximal colon full of both gas and fluid contents, and severe diarrhea upon fructose ingestion, the relevance of intestinal ChREBP in fructose tolerance has been established in recent years. In the small intestine, the expression and activity of ChREBP are tightly regulated by carbohydrate in the liver. At the post-translational level, its nuclear translocation and transcriptional activity depend on glucose-modulated phosphorylation and acetylation. At the transcriptional level,
ChREBP-α is activated by glucose, possibly through its metabolites, the identity of which remains subject to much uncertainty. It is worth noting that ChREBP-α has been identified as one of the direct target genes of liver X receptor and thyroid hormone receptor β (TRβ) in the liver. Although these nuclear receptors are involved in transcriptional regulation of carbohydrate and lipid metabolism, neither of them is necessary for the induction of ChREBP expression by glucose or refeeding. So far, the molecular mechanism for the regulation of ChREBP expression is still unknown. Our previous study identified a new member of C2H2 subfamily of zinc finger proteins, named as zinc finger and BTB domain-containing protein 20 (ZBTB20), which is responsive to carbohydrate stimulation and capable of binding to and activating ChREBP-α gene, thus regulating the expression of ChREBP-driven metabolic genes in the liver. ZBTB20 is abundantly expressed in adult hepatocytes. Tissue-specific deletion of ZBTB20 results in a marked decrease in ChREBP-α expression and a dramatic decline of ChREBP-α expression levels upon fructose stimulation, protecting the mice against fructose-induced steatosis. Our finding points to ZBTB20 as a top-level critical regulator in the hierarchy of ChREBP-regulated fructose metabolism pathway in the liver, providing a novel insight into the transcriptional regulation of ChREBP. However, ZBTB20 is hardly expressed in the intestinal epithelial cells. Therefore, the regulation of ChREBP pathway in different tissues needs further investigation.

Effects of Fructose on Metabolism

Energy storage as fat and glycogen

In humans, dietary fructose functions as an energy source that can be deposited as fat and glycogen. Hepatocytes are able to convert fructose-derived carbon into glucose, glycogen, lactate, lipid, carbon dioxide, and/or other metabolites. Isotope-labeled metabolic tracer techniques provide a novel way to quantitatively evaluate the conversion and oxidation of ingested fructose, even in human bodies. In healthy subjects, after acute fructose ingestion, about 29% to 54% fructose is converted to glucose and then further incorporated into glycogen, 28% fructose is converted to lactate, and a small trace enters DNL pathway. Fructose-derived metabolites enter the triose-phosphate pool, bypassing the phosphofructokinase (PFK)-restricted glycolytic flux, which results in unrestricted hepatic fructolysis. Thus, fructose-overloads lead to large, rapid expansions in the hexose- and triose-phosphate pools, providing increasing substrate for multiple metabolic pathway, including glycogen and lipogenesis for energy storage. Very recently, Zhao et al report that dietary fructose feeds hepatic lipogenesis via microbiota-derived acetate, indicating a potential role of microbiota in the pathogenesis of fructose-induced metabolic disease.

Fructose has been regarded as a potent adipogenic nutrient. Chronic fructose intake increases the adipogenic potential on adipocyte precursor cells. Although glucose and fructose consumption exhibit similar effects on weight gain, fructose-sweetened, but not glucose-sweetened, beverages increase visceral adiposity, induce dyslipidemia, and impair insulin sensitivity in overweight/obese humans. The precise molecular mechanism of fructose-induced insulin resistance is related to proinflammatory changes and endoplasmic reticulum stress in visceral adipose tissue (VAT), as well as adiponectin resistance. According to the study by Marek et al, excessive fructose causes not only visceral fat accumulation but also macrophage infiltration and production of proinflammatory cytokines in the VAT, including TNF-α.
and MCP-1. This low-grade inflammation status in the adipose tissue in obesity is widely recognized as a major cause of insulin resistance and cardiovascular risk. In addition, they also found the impairment of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) function caused by fructose load, as evidenced by XBP1 activation and decreased Ero-1α expression. Their evidence may provide an important mechanism for pathogenesis of insulin resistance and progression of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease that are associated with increasing consumption of fructose-sweetened foods in modern societies.

Eating behavior

The sweetness of added sugar increases the palatability of food and beverages, thereby stimulating appetite and encouraging overeating. In rats, both glucose and fructose increase caloric intake, resulting in increased serum leptin, decreased serum peptide tyrosine tyrosine (PYY) and hypothalamic neuropeptide Y (NPY). However, fructose vs. glucose increases circulating levels of the hunger hormone ghrelin as well as hypothalamic levels of endocannabinoids and cannabinoid receptor CBI mRNA.[72,73] In humans, fructose relative to glucose results in a smaller increase in systemic glucose, insulin, and glucagon-like polypeptide 1 (GLP-1) levels, with an insufficient induction of satiety. Functional magnetic resonance imaging based studies show that consumption of fructose relative to glucose resulted in greater hypothalamic blood flow and activation of brain regions that are involved in attention and reward processing, which may promote feeding behavior.[74,75]

Fructose in the Pathogenesis of Metabolic Diseases

Although the question remains controversial as to whether fructose consumption is a major contributor to the epidemics of metabolic syndrome,[76-78] SSB have been considered as an independent risk factor for metabolic diseases.[79] Studies in both young people and adults have suggested that excessive fructose consumption may lead to adverse metabolic effects, such as dyslipidemia, increased visceral adiposity, and fatty liver disease,[80,81] which in turn increases the risk of cardiovascular diseases. On the other hand, short-term fructose restriction decreases liver fat, VAT, and DNL, and improves insulin kinetics in children with obesity.[82]

Effect of fructose on obesity and insulin resistance

There are plenty of studies which support the contention that fructose consumption is closely associated with weight gain or obesity due to increased caloric intake.[83-85] On the other hand, fructose induces adipogenesis, oxidative stress, inflammation, and glucocorticoid activation to promote adiposity.[86,87] For example, fructose stimulates 11-beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (HSD) – expression and activity, thereby promoting the adipogenic effects of glucocorticoids.[86] In addition, fructose-induced leptin resistance may be a contributing factor to dysregulated energy metabolism and weight gain,[88] given the key role of leptin in satiety response and energy expenditure.[89]

It is worthy to note that there are divergent effects of fructose and glucose on metabolic disorders due to their distinct metabolic pathways. Kuzma et al.[90] reported that short-term overconsumption of high-glucose-sweetened beverages significantly increases fasting plasma insulin levels in healthy humans. Rather than fructose, glucose promotes blood glucose levels as well as insulin secretion, more directly. Glucose-stimulated glycemic load may be a determinant of fasting insulin concentrations. Previous reports suggest that consumption of foods with a high glycemic index is a risk factor for the development of insulin resistance,[91] and pure glucose, by definition, has the highest glycemic index. Therefore, in a short time, glucose beverage was sufficient to induce chronic hyperglycemia and concomitant hyperinsulinemia. Although fructose intake seems not to stimulate insulin secretion, chronic fructose stimulation renders pancreatic β-cells hyper-responsive to glucose-stimulated insulin secretion through extracellular ATP signaling.[92] Moreover, it readily induces hyperinsulinemia in both rodent models and human subjects,[10,93] most likely as a result of insulin resistance.[25] There is some evidence to support the role of fructose-induced hepatic lipogenesis in insulin resistance, which may be associated with diacylglycerol accumulation, protein kinase C ε activation, and impaired insulin-mediated Akt2 activation.[94,95] However, the precise role and mechanism of fructose in insulin resistance need to be intensively explored.

Effect of fructose on NAFLD and hyperlipidemia

Excessive fructose consumption may have significant effects on lipid metabolism, contributing to steatosis and hypertriglyceridemia.[15] Even in the setting of insulin resistance, it stimulates hepatic lipid accumulation through increasing hepatic DNL because fructose metabolism does not require insulin. Fructose consumption activates lipogenic program immediately and then contributes to increased triglyceride-rich very-low-density lipoprotein secretion,[96,97] whereas it acutely suppresses hepatic fatty acid oxidation.[98] Thus, fructose stimulates hepatic triglyceride production both by providing substrate for fatty acid and triglyceride synthesis and by activating signaling systems to enhance lipid production.[19] Meanwhile, fructose can increase transcriptional regulation of DNL by activating key transcription factors, including sterol regulatory element–binding protein 1c (SREBP1c) and ChREBP.[25,99] This fructose-induced DNL has been strongly correlates with NAFLD and hypertriglyceridemia.[100-102]

It is well established that fructose enhances hepatic lipogenesis; however, so far the association between fructose and cholesterol metabolism has been poorly appreciated. Based on a cross-sectional study among 6113 US adults in 1999–2006, there was a statistically significant correlation between dietary added sugars and unfavorable blood lipid levels, namely lower high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels and higher triglycerides levels in fructose consumers, and higher low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol levels in women.[101] A short-term (3.5 inpatient days) intervention study showed that HFCS intake with 10% to 25% of energy requirement increased postprandial triglyceride and 17.5% to 25.0% of energy requirement increased fasting and/or postprandial LDL cholesterol.[11] Recent animal studies show that high
Intake of fructose is an important approach to preventing cardiometabolic diseases, and intervention of endogenous fructose production also receives increasing attention. While intestine, liver, and kidney are the major organs involved in fructose metabolism, the physiology and significance of brain in fructose metabolism need intensive investigation to better understand the effect of fructose on sweet taste preference and eating behavior. While there is some evidence to indicate that excessive fructose consumption affects cholesterol homeostasis, the underlying cellular and molecular mechanism needs to be clarified. ChREBP is the master regulator of fructose metabolism; the means by which ChREBP senses fructose stimulation is still an enigma. Obtaining a better understanding of the biochemical regulatory mechanism of ChREBP pathway will definitely provide new insights to the regulation of fructose metabolism and its metabolic effects. Future advances in this field will benefit our efforts to achieve better cardiometabolic health.
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